

## SWANTON MORLEY PARISH COUNCIL

A meeting of the Parish Council was held in the Village Hall on Monday 8<sup>th</sup> January 2007. The meeting commenced at 7.30pm.

**Present:** Mr R. Atterwill (Chairman), Mrs. R. Northall, Mr J. Carrick, Mrs. Q Wood, Mr C Perry, County Councillor Mrs I. Floering-Blackman and 10 members of the public.

### **1. Apologies for Absence**

Apologies were received from Mrs Thomas and Mr Clegg.

### **2. Declarations of Interest**

Mrs Northall declared a personal interest in items 8a, as Secretary of Swanton Morley Cricket Club, and 8b, as a member of the Norfolk Wildlife Trust, the Ramblers Association and Wensum Valley Bird Watching Society.

Mr Carrick declared a prejudicial interest in item 8b.

### **3. Presentation by Mr Ted Peachment on the current role and future needs of Link Up Magazine**

Mr Atterwill welcomed Mr Peachment to the meeting. Mr Peachment provided a brief history of Link Up magazine, explaining that when the project was started by the church in the early 1980's it was generally to report matters of the churches within the Parishes of Swanton Morley, East Bilney, Beetley and Hoe. All work was voluntary and all stationery and printing works were donated, leaving the magazine with no running costs.

Although the magazine is currently still run by volunteers, the donated stationery and print work is no longer available but a local printer is able to provide the service at a very competitive price. The current cost is £3,000 per annum for producing 400 copies per month. All revenue is generated from sales and advertising. No donations are received from any organisations and the organisation is completely not for profit.

The distribution figures are as follows:

Swanton Morley	200 copies
Beetley and East Bilney	170 copies
Hoe	30 copies

Copies are delivered by volunteers or sold through local outlets.

The sales income for 2006 was £1,040, leaving a £1,960 shortfall which has to be made up through advertising revenue. Income from advertising has fallen after the retirement of the advertising manager, of which the magazine has to date been unable to replace. As a result the advertising rates and also cost price of the magazine have had to be increased which secures the future of the magazine until the end of 2007. This is on the provision that the print prices don't increase substantially, or if the printing contract is lost which would be devastating to the project as the open market print prices are a great deal more expensive.

Mr Peachment explained further that the magazine is currently 40-45 pages. There is also an awareness that the more adverts are in the magazine, the less interest and therefore sales go down. They currently cannot afford to increase the number of pages, which are currently A4 size, without increasing costs of the publication. What is needed is to increase interest by encouraging local groups to submit for articles and events to the magazine, and also to sustain the advertising revenue.

Mr Peachment stated that he understood that the Parish Council were keen to obtain Quality Status, and to do this a quarterly newsletter is to be produced. A newsletter is provided by the Parish Council in Beetley and this has affected circulation of Link Up in this area.

Mr Peachment stressed the work put in by the volunteers to this project, who not only give up their time, but are not reimbursed for associated costs such as stationery and phone calls. Mr Peachment also thanked the Parish Council for their interest in this project.

Mr Atterwill thanked Mr Peachment for his presentation and invited questions.

Mr Ellis stated that he frequently disagreed with the expenditure of the Parish Council, in particular to charities that are not specific to local people, however, he felt that Link Up was a local worthy cause and funding should be provided.

Mrs Floering-Blackman found Link Up a useful publication and also thought distribution from local outlets a good idea.

Mr Peachment agreed that distribution from local outlets was beneficial as they often received extra donations.

Mr Atterwill informed the room that he had spoken to George Hayes from Beetley Parish Council, who acknowledge that their Parish Council newsletter had affected circulation and had requested further distribution and financial figures, prior to discussing the matter of funding further. Beetley also felt that there were too many adverts.

Mr Peachment agreed with the amount of adverts, however felt that there was no other option at present to maintain sufficient income.

Mr Atterwill added that he had also contacted Roger Thornley from Hoe Parish Meeting who was under the impression that Link Up was subsidised by the church. Mr Peachment replied that this was incorrect.

Mr Atterwill suggested that the publication be in A5, rather than A4. Mrs Floering-Blackman continued, saying that she receives all local magazines from her parishes, and Swanton Morley is the only one published on A4.

Mr Peachment advised that he will speak to the printer to obtain costings and also to the editor to see if it is possible to get all the articles and adverts on an A5 page. He also requested a spot at the APM to get this information to a wider audience.

Mr Atterwill agreed to this and also requested that the clerk add the information provided to the Dereham Times article. Personally he thought that the Parish Council should support this cause, particularly as a lot of elderly people in the village use the magazine.

Mr Peachment showed concern that the Parish Council may risk adverse publicity by using tax payers money. Mr Atterwill replied that the same could be said of all donations made by the Parish Council, but gave the example of how the village has used the Air Ambulance in recent times and how this shows justification for a donation. He requested Mr Peachment provide a copy of all his figures to the Parish Council and said that the donation would be considered at a later date. He will also speak to Beetley and Hoe to advise them of the information provided and the decisions made by Swanton Morley.

#### **4. Minutes from Parish Council Annual Meeting of 11<sup>th</sup> December 2006 to be Accepted, Initialled and Signed.**

The clerk read out three amendments which were accepted. Mr Carrick suggested that the sentence 'The hall has cost the Parish approximately £25,000 since purchase' was incorrect and the word 'since' should be replaced with 'included'. This was agreed. Mrs Wood objected that the phrase 'Mr Carrick has a sustainable village' was not amended. No amendment was made because these were the words of Mr Spencer, although the context of the sentence was not reflected in the minutes. Mrs Northall proposed that these minutes be accepted, Mr Perry seconded, all in favour.

#### **5. Matters Arising from Minutes of 11<sup>th</sup> December 2006**

Mrs Northall queried whether the Parish Council meeting arranged for the 2<sup>nd</sup> April would conflict with the Village Hall Management Committee meeting. Mr Carrick confirmed he would re-arrange the Village Hall Management Committee meeting.

#### **5. Finance**

##### **a) Accounts to be approved for payment**

Mr Atterwill proposed the following payments be authorised

<b>Payment</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Cheque No.</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Clerk's Salary	£281.22	101266	
Clerk's Expenses	£45.25	101266	
Pest Control	£135.00	101267	Mole Control C'yard & Gooseberry Hill
Norfolk County Council	£7602.24	101268	2 x Vehicle Activated Signs
EON	£102.57	DD	Street Lighting (November)
<b>Total</b>	<b>£8166.28</b>		

And that payment to WF Smith (£117.50) and Steeles (£440.63) be deferred and discussed under item 10b. Mrs Wood seconded, all in favour.

**b) Income – None reported.**

**c) Discuss appointment of Internal Auditor**

Mr Atterwill reported that he had approached Larking Gowen to quote the Parish Council for doing the Internal Audit, as a result of the previous auditor Mrs Smith having moved away. Larking Gowen have advised that they perform this service for other Parishes and that whilst their standard fee would be £700 in the first year and £500 for subsequent years, they would be happy to provide the service for £250 plus VAT per year in order to maintain a good relationship with the local community. Mr Atterwill's personal opinion was that the audit needs to be performed at this level.

Mr Perry felt that this was a good price for a professional accountant.

Mr Carrick thought that as the Parish Council has quite a high turnover now, the audit needs to be professionally done.

Mrs Northall suggested getting another clerk to perform the task, or getting quotes from alternative accountants.

Mr Atterwill suggested obtaining a quotation for Tax Assist and will place this as an agenda item for next month.

## **7. Public Participation Session**

*Mr Atterwill proposed the meeting be adjourned and opened to the public. Mrs Wood seconded. All in favour.*

Mr Marsham was pleased to see that the kerbing had been done on Rectory Road and requested that the shrubbery be addressed on Mill Hill.

Mr Peachment advised that the derestriction sign on Pyles Lane still had not been reset, and that Green Lane was still blocked by two trees.

Mr Ellis reported flooding on the bends coming from Dereham. Mr Atterwill responded that Jason Glasspoole was aware of this and needed to contact the landowner about a pit that had been filled in, thus not allowing water to flow anywhere. Mr Marsham advised that the landowner was Mr Reeve.

Mrs Wood reported that the 30mph signs on Manns Lane were too low. Mr Atterwill replied that Jason Glasspoole was also aware of this matter and would be addressed.

Mr Northall, as a representative of Swanton Morley Cricket Club, made the following points about planning application 8a11:

- 1) Many cricket grounds have been lost to encroaching development. When the club started there was only one property, now there are seven with the original property also being extended.
- 2) The application is outside the parish boundary, and the Parish Council should be consistent in objecting to this application on these grounds.
- 3) The Parish Council statement that a refusal would make the applicants homeless was incorrect as it was the choice of the applicant to sell their property.
- 4) The applicants have been uncooperative with the club, lighting bonfires at the start of games and not returning cricket balls.
- 5) Although the application is for a single dwelling, the developer has a track record for submitting subsequent applications.
- 6) All local Councils, as directed by central Government, spend large sums on promoting sports and activities. However, extra development around sports grounds will only cause the demise of local sports.
- 7) If the application is approved, please insist that a 6m high, 50m wide fence is erected at cost to the developer.

Mr Northall provided a copy of his concerns to the clerk. Mr Carrick sympathised with Mr Northall, as the hockey club were forced to erect a 6m fence at their own expense, even though the club was founded prior to the development.

Mr Marsham suggested that planning applications should be made available for the public to look at prior to each Parish Council meeting. Mr Atterwill responded that there was merit in that comment and suggested the clerk place all planning applications to be discussed on a table 15 minutes prior to the commencement of the meeting. He also told Councillors that for this to be feasible, all planning applications need to be with the clerk at 7.15 on the date of each Parish Council meeting at the latest. Mr Perry questioned whether planning applications needed to be circulated. Mr Atterwill responded that they did in case any Councillor needed to speak with the applicant.

*Mr Atterwill proposed the meeting be brought back into order. Mrs Wood seconded. All in favour.*

## **10. Planning**

**a) To consider the following planning applications**

**i) 3PL/2006/1812/F – Mr & Mrs Keen, Freshfields, Town Street – Erection of Dwelling & Garage –**

Mr Atterwill showed the room the design of the application.

Mrs Wood queried the flat roof whereas Mrs Northall felt that despite the innovative design, the application was outside of the planning guidelines. Mr Carrick added that there were no extenuating circumstances (such as affordable housing) that would warrant this development outside of the guidelines.

Mr Perry and Mr Atterwill both felt the design was out of character of the village.

Mr Atterwill proposed that the Parish Council object to this application. Mrs Northall seconded. The vote was 4:1 in favour of objecting, with Mrs Wood voting against the proposal.

**ii) 3PL/2006/1832/F – Mr & Mrs C Beal, New Orchard, Greengate – Proposed erection of a cottage style dwelling & garage.**

– Mr Atterwill showed the plans to the room and noted Mr Northall's comments. He also stated that the applicants had verbally agreed not to act on the previous granted application, should this application be approved.

Mr Carrick agreed that the property should be built as far away from the Cricket Club as possible.

Mr Atterwill proposed that the Council provide no objections to this application, but the comment to Breckland Council should be that the developments should be as far away from the Cricket Club as possible, and that there should be no future development on that site. Mr Carrick seconded. The vote was 4:1 in favour with Mrs Northall providing the objection.

**b) To discuss HLS application for Mr J Carrick**

*Mr Carrick left the Room*

Mr Atterwill explained to the room the route of the proposed permissive access.

Mrs Wood showed concern that some of the land in question was common land belonging to the Parish Council, as registered in 1966, and that clarification of land ownership should be obtained prior to proceeding.

Mr Atterwill believed all the land involved belonged to Mr Carrick and that he felt it was a good scheme worthy of the Parish Council's support.

Mrs Northall endorsed what Mr Atterwill had said and had spoken to the Norfolk Wildlife Trust, the Ramblers Association and the Wensum Valley Bird Watchers Society about their opinions of the scheme and whether it would be adequately used. All responses were positive and Mrs Northall provided the clerk with a summary of the responses given.

Mr Atterwill proposed that the Parish Council raise no objection to this application and put forward the comments raised to Norfolk County Council. Mrs Northall seconded. The vote was 3:1 in favour with Mrs Wood objecting, not wishing to proceed until clarification of land ownership is obtained.

*Mr Carrick Re-entered the Room*

**c) To receive a report from Mr C. Clegg on the progress of the Planning & Local Development Working Group**

Mr Clegg had provided his apologies, therefore there was no report.

**9. Old Village Hall & Car Park**

**a) To receive an update on demolition of the Old Village Hall.**

Mrs Northall showed her disgust that, after a democratic decision, a Parish Councillor had done his utmost to try to discredit and revoke the decision. And that the action of showing a prospective purchaser around the old village hall was in contravention of Standing Order 21 and that he could not use his powers as a Ward Representative because the building has nothing to do with Breckland District Council.

Mr Atterwill commented on the petition received by the Parish Council at the meeting of 11<sup>th</sup> December. Further analysis had shown that of the 158 signatures against the demolition, 52 did not live in the village and that two further people had signed twice. Mr Atterwill felt aggrieved by the accusation that people had not been kept informed of the situation as a questionnaire had been sent to all households in April 2006. He continued by reading out the results of the questionnaire and questioned the overall interest in the subject by the lack of responses. He provided evidence, by way of a letter that he had sent to the Parish Council in September 2005 as a member of the public, that it was he who had fought for the general public to be involved in this decision by way of a questionnaire, and it was Mr Carrick who had objected to this action.

Mr Carrick stated that he stood by everything that he had said and queried whether correct procedures had been followed.

Mr Atterwill responded that all procedures had been checked with NCAPTC and Breckland District Council.

Mr Atterwill reported that the contractor had moved onto site on 2<sup>nd</sup> January and had sent all valid insurance documents to the Parish Council. He continued that whilst there is a considerable amount of reclaim material, there are certain items that are unlikely to be wanted by anyone and should be disposed of in a skip. He had obtained a quote for an 8 yard skip for £130 plus VAT. Mr Atterwill is to arrange for this to be delivered. He also confirmed that Mr Carrick had yet to provide an invoice for clearing the site of rubble.

Mr Atterwill also suggested the purchase of some fencing to stake out the footprint of the old village hall as he felt it should be fenced until a final decision was made as to the future of the site.

Mr Carrick and Mrs Wood felt that it should be left open.

Mr Perry thought it should be secured but suggested getting some cheaper prices than the £126.23 (inc VAT) provided.

It was agreed to make a decision at the next meeting when the future of the site should be discussed as an agenda item.

#### **b) To agree Right of Way route for adjacent cottage owners**

Mr Atterwill provided the room with a suggested diagram of how the car park area could look and a potential Right of Way route for the adjacent cottage owners. He had allowed a 4m width, which Mr Carrick agreed was sufficient, and also a passing space.

Mr Carrick and Mr Perry stressed the route required a degree of flexibility. Mr Atterwill said that Nicholas Hancox should be able to add something to the agreement to that effect. He also suggested that all Councillors give this matter some more thought until a response is received from the adjacent cottage owners.

#### **c) To discuss application for public footpath status between Town Street and Harkers Lane**

Mr Atterwill advised the room that there was currently no legal definition on the footpath between Town Street and Harkers Lane and therefore no maintenance responsibility. The Parish Council could try to get public footpath or restrictive bridleway status and thus transfer the maintenance responsibility to Norfolk County Council.

Mrs Wood advised that Octagon House have claimed part of it already.

Mrs Northall advised that part of it, to the Mill Bakery, is registered as village green.

Mr Atterwill suggested bringing this up at the Annual Parish Meeting and then if Parishioners wanted the Parish Council to proceed with this matter, then they could put this request in writing.

### **10. Streetlighting**

#### **a) To receive fault reports / matters for attention**

None to report

#### **b) To receive an update on the proposed new streetlight for Middleton Avenue**

Mr Atterwill explained the situation behind the invoices received. The legal advice received was contradictory. At first it was made clear that a Deed of Easement was required, however, Mr Atterwill had spoken with EDF who were happy to take responsibility for maintenance of the cable, and that to do this a Wayleave Agreement was required. The invoices from WF Smith and Steeles were as a result of the aborted Deed of Easement.

Zoe Footer of Breckland Council explained that they were frustrated by WF Smith as they were consistently poor to respond. Mr Atterwill is to speak with Zoe Footer to see if the fees from Steeles can be reduced.

### **13. Highways**

#### **a) To receive reports of highways faults / matters for attention**

Mr Atterwill thanked Mr Marsham for his assistance with the kerbing on Rectory Road by way of removing the fallen barriers over the Christmas period. Mr Atterwill also reported that Jason Glasspoole is to arrange the footpath repairs on Middleton Avenue and Manns Lane. The fingerpost sign has been replaced outside the Papermakers Public House and work has been done to reduce the flooding at the top of Frog's Hall Lane.

Mrs Northall reported the poor state of the pathways on Bedingfield Road, particularly the stretch between Keith Road and the post box where there are dips in the pavement where mud and leaves

are accumulating making it very slippery. Mrs Wood reported dips in the pavement on Ainsworth Close and Greengate. The clerk is to report these to the Highways department.

**b) To authorise finance for a new litter bin (Ainsworth Close) and repair of Dog Bin (Greengate/Bennett Road)**

Mr Atterwill thanked Mr Marsham for repairing dog bin on Bennett Road/Greengate, at no cost to the Council. Mr Atterwill also reported that he had received complaints that Serco do not seem to have the keys for the village litter bins and that they are being opened by brute force, leaving them susceptible to vandalism. The clerk is to write to Breckland about this. He also felt that the bin on Ainsworth Close did not warrant replacement at this stage.

**12. Churchyard & Burial Ground**

**a) To receive an update report from Mrs M. Thomas on progress of the Sub Committee**

Mrs Thomas had provided her apologies therefore there was no report.

**13. Youth & Playground Equipment**

**a) To receive an update report from Mr R. Atterwill on progress of the Sub Committee**

Mr Atterwill reported that the sub-committee will be meeting on 9<sup>th</sup> January. He also reported that he and Miss Woolnough had met with the play equipment contractor, Adventure Playgrounds, and that a discount in the form of additional equipment may have been negotiated. He added that all inspection reports had been given to the clerk for circulation.

Mrs Wood questioned where the wire was for the repair of the Gooseberry Hill fence. Mr Atterwill replied that Mr Carrick has the equipment and a working party will be formed when there is an improvement in the weather.

**14. Commons, Open Spaces & Environmental**

**a) To receive an update report from Mr J. Carrick on progress of the HLS application.**

Mr Carrick reported that all sites of SSSI will be given provisional funding under the HLS, however, this has to be authorised by Brussels. This should be approved in February.

With regard to the previous request from Natural England for permission from the adjacent landowners to fence the common land, a letter had been received from Mr Diggle granting such permission alongside his land. Although this will be of benefit to Mr Diggle, the greater benefit will be to the Parish Council. The mature hedge on the boundary between the common and Mr Marsham's land just requires cropping. Mr Carrick had approached Cemex to obtain permission for the work required. Natural England will pay for the materials and the Parish Council will pay for the labour.

Mr Carrick recommended the grazing of cattle on this land, as another £50 per hectare will be paid to the Council. Mr Carrick volunteered the use of his own cattle for this project.

Mrs Wood suggested charging Mr Carrick rent for use of the grazing land. Mr Atterwill responded that as Mr Carrick maintains the land using his own machinery and does not charge for this, then it would be wrong to implement a grazing charge.

Mr Carrick also reported that the Council was in possession of a £500 grant to improve fishing alongside Burgh Common and there was also money allocated in the 2007/2008 budget for improvement of this area. He was keen to progress with these improvements.

**b) To discuss proposed river restoration and habitat improvement for Burgh Common.**

The clerk reported that she had been contacted by the Environment Agency who had some additional funds available until the end of the financial year, which they wished to invest in restoration and improvement of Burgh Common. Suggested improvement measures included a gravel glide, wheelchair access, seating, an interpretation panel and bank re-profiling.

Mr Carrick showed great enthusiasm for the project and will call a meeting of the commons committee to discuss the proposals further. He will report back to the clerk with his comments.

*Mrs Northall proposed the meeting be extended, Mrs Wood seconded – all in favour*

**15. Community Car**

**a) To receive an update on the Community Car Project**

Mrs Northall reported that the number of volunteer drivers had increased to seven, although three still lived out of the village. The clerk was provided with a draft letter to send to various village groups to help recruit for volunteer drivers. She felt that now that Christmas was over a better response should be received.

## **16. Proposal to set up an email group to provide agendas and approved minutes to Parishioners**

The clerk suggested that to counter recent accusations of the Council not providing enough information to Parishioners, an email group could be set up to send out agendas and approved minutes to all those who requested them.

It was felt that an improvement to the website would be more appropriate so that this could include agendas. The clerk explained that she does not have access to the website so timing may be an issue. It was requested that the clerk obtain the relevant information required to get access to update the website and thus ensure the agendas and minutes are placed on this site on a timely basis.

## **17. Training**

### **a) To authorise payment for CiLCA qualification**

The clerk explained that although funding for the CiLCA qualification was authorised for the 2007/2008 financial year, the next course starts on 22<sup>nd</sup> February and requested authorisation to start this course. She also reported that although £110 was placed in the budget for this, the bursaries available were now as such that the whole course would cost only £55.

Mr Atterwill proposed that funding for the CiLCA course be granted prior to the 2007/2008 financial year, Mrs Wood seconded. All in favour.

## **18. Correspondence**

Mr Atterwill reported that he had attended the School / Parent Meeting. In this meeting there was concern about the fence on Manns Lane, which Mr Atterwill then asked Mr Harris to repair.

Mr Atterwill and Mrs Northall performed the clerk's appraisal, which will be circulated to all Councillors. Mr Atterwill is to write to the clerk confirming that the probation period is now over.

Mr Atterwill also reported that he had received several letters in objection to the proposed pedestrian crossing. A 62 signature petition has been received from Mrs Foster and a request was made by Mr and Mrs Rudderham for a meeting on site. A letter had been sent to the objectors specifically inviting them to this Parish Council meeting, however, no effort had been made on their part to attend.

Mr Atterwill also reported that these objectors had called for a referendum on the matter. He advised that the incorrect procedure had been followed for this, and that a referendum can only be called for at a Parish Meeting, and that the objectors must call for a Parish Meeting first. He also reminded the room that it was Mrs Foster who instigated the original petition in 2003 for more safety and traffic calming measures to be placed in the village.

Mr Perry advised that there had been an overall increase in walkers and cyclist to school, after the 'Walk to School' week, and that the biggest concern of the parents was crossing Greengate.

Mr Atterwill reported that Parishioners had queried the use of a lollipop lady, and then proceeded to read out the statistics for the decline in patrol crossings.

Mrs Northall also reminded the room according to the Village Appraisal, 216 people wanted a pedestrian crossing.

## **19. County / District Councillors' Reports**

Mrs Floering-Blackman requested the support from the Parish Council to keep the existing two tier system between County and District Councils. There is a threat from Norwich City Council who has applied for unitary status, by possibly putting in two bids, one for their own boundary, and one that will include some of Broadland's boundaries too. Should Norwich obtain unitary status, then they will take control of extra services, such as education and social services within their boundaries. This will mean that duplicates will be needed for some services, and Norwich do not currently have this expertise, which will only come at cost.

Mr Carrick, as District Councillor, was pleased that Mrs Floering-Blackman had raised the issue of unitary status as he felt the system was ludicrous unless all Districts applied. Breckland's original application had been lost, and the second not been responded to.

Mr Carrick also reported that approximately 2500 rural post offices are to be lost, but he doesn't think this would affect Swanton Morley. Mrs Floering-Blackman replied that the County is working hard to save rural post offices. Mr Carrick said that the problem was that Post Office Counters are losing £1m per day.

Mr Carrick also reported that the new waste incineration plant was not going to go ahead, but instead it was to be a waste treatment process plant, which would include extra facilities to filter out recyclable material.

Mr Carrick also stated that it was of his opinion that the way the public had been treated over the Becky Hellard scenario was disgraceful. There was going to be a Scrutiny Meeting on Thursday, however, he will not be permitted to report on this.

**20. Any Item to be Reported for the next agenda**

Payments to remaining Charities (Air Ambulance, Norfolk Rescue and Victim Support and remaining £50 for village organisations)  
Progress on implementations of disability access for Village Hall.

*Mrs Wood proposed the meeting be opened to the public. Mrs Northall seconded, all in favour*

**21. Public Participation Summary Session**

Mr Ellis questioned the progress on listing the covenant on Gooseberry Hill. Mr Atterwill replied that a response is still awaited from Breckland.

Mr Ellis also made a plea that any pedestrian crossing is light controlled, as he believed that more lives will be in danger when children don't give traffic the chance to stop. Mr Atterwill replied that the Highways Engineers have done a detailed study and concluded that the recommended crossing was the most appropriate.

Mrs Walden queried the access to the left side of the Old Village Hall, stating that it denied access to Wayside Cottage. Mr Atterwill responded that there was only ever pedestrian access via this route, not vehicular access.

Mrs Walden requested that the Parish Council reconsider. Mr Atterwill replied that the cottage owners should respond to Mr Hancox agreeing to Right of Way subject to the route being agreed.

**22) To confirm the date & time of next meeting to be held on Monday 12<sup>th</sup> February 2007 at 7.30pm at the Village Hall.**

All in Favour.

The meeting closed at 10.35pm

\_\_\_\_\_ (Chairman) \_\_\_\_\_ Date